



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO |
|-----------------------------|---------|------------|-------------------------|---------------------|-----------------|
| 09/487,675 | • | 01/20/2000 | Masaki Hanzawa | 7217/60612 | 3437 |
| 7590 04/05/2004 | | | | EXAMINER | |
| Jay H Maioli | | | ROSENDALE, MATTHEW L | | |
| Cooper & Dur | nham LL | _P | | | |
| 1185 Avenue of the Americas | | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| New York, NY 10036 | | | | 2612 | |
| · | | | DATE MAILED: 04/05/2004 | 1160 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| Advisory Action | 09/487,675 | HANZAWA, MASAKI | | | | | |
| | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Matthew L Rosendale [*] | 2612 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address | | | | | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 19 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TO Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to ave final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this applica a timely filed amendment which (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely | ation. A proper reply to a | | | | | |
| | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A | | | | | | | |
| no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period o fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of t (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amo he shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail | g date of the final rejection. IE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP R 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension on the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action: or | | | | | |
| timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | FR 1.704(b). | | | | | | |
| A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | cause: | | | | | | |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | | |
| (d) 🔲 they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | | |
| 5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: | | | | | | | |
| The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | | | | | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(explanation of how the new or amended claims wo | (s) a)⊠ will not be entered or b) uld be rejected is provided belo | ☐ will be entered and an wor appended. | | | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-12</u> . | | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | | | | | |
| ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |



Continuation of 2. NOTE: Claim 1 has been amended to include a locking mechanism beyond the scope of the proposed cancelled claims -2 - 4. Further consideration for the new limitation of claim 1 would be required.

NGOC-YENVU PRIMARY EXAMINER